1 2 3 4 5	Muriel B. Kaplan, Esq. (SBN 124607) Blake E. Williams, Esq. (SBN 233158) SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 882-7900 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile mkaplan@sjlawcorp.com bwilliams@sjlawcorp.com				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	F.G. CROSTHWAITE and RUSSELL E. BURNS, in their respective capacities as	Case No.: C1	0-4266 WHA		
11	Trustees, et al.,	JOINT REQ	UEST TO CONTINUE CASE ENT CONFERENCE;		
12	Plaintiffs,		ORDER THEREON		
13	V.	Date: Time:	December 16, 2010 11:00 a.m.		
14	JOHN CLARK BRISBIN, individually and <i>dba</i> CONSTRUCTION DEVELOPMENT	Location:	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA		
15	SYSTEMS, Defendant.	Courtroom: Judge:	9, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor Honorable William H. Alsup		
16	Defendant.	] vaage.	Tionoruote ((Tinum Tit Tinoup		
17					
18	Plaintiffs and Defendants in the above-titled action jointly submit this Request to Continue				
19	the Case Management Conference, based on the following:				
20	1. This is an action by Plaintiff Trust Funds to compel Defendant's compliance with				
21	an audit required by a Collective Bargaining Agreement to which he is signatory, and by the Trus				
22	Agreements incorporated therein.				
23	2. Counsel for both parties recently	met and confer	rred. At his request, Plaintiffs		
24	provided Defendant's counsel, Matthew W. Quall, Esq., with a copy of the letter sent previously				
25	to Defendant, listing the records needed for an audi	t to be conducte	ed.		
26	3. Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defenda	ant have discus	ssed the scope of the audit and		
27	compliance therewith by Defendant, with specific discussions regarding the scope and parameters				

of the audit.

## Case 3:10-cv-04266-WHA Document 14 Filed 12/14/10 Page 2 of 2

1	4. In an effort to keep fee	s and costs	at a minimum, the parties respectfully reques		
2	that the currently set Case Management Conference be continued for a short period (including th				
3	holiday periods) to January 13, 2011, to allow Defendant the opportunity to comply with the audit				
4	before litigation proceeds further.				
<b>5</b>	Dated: December 9, 2010		SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION		
7 8		Ву:	/S/ Muriel B. Kaplan Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9	Dated: December 9, 2010		LANG, RICHERT & PATCH		
10 11 12		Ву:	/S/ Matthew W. Quall Attorneys for Defendant		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>			rence is hereby continued to January 13, 2011 at extended accordingly. tes related to this case are vacated, to be reset at		
16	t <del>hat Conference</del> .				
17	that conference.		NTES DISTRICT		
18	Date: December 14, 2010.		U.S. OPDERED		
			United IT IS SO ORDERED Idge		
20 21			Under IT IS SO ORDERED Idge AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED		
18 19 20			Under IT IS SO ORDERED Idge AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED		
18 19 20 21 22			United IT IS SO ORDERED Idge		
18 19 20 21 22 23			Under IT IS SO ORDERED Idge AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED		

28